Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/575,908	JUNEAU, J. RENE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON CHUNG	2423
II Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>JASON CHUNG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <i>Matthew Sarles (58,696)</i> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 January 2011	Time: <u>3:00 EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423		
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of claims discussed: Independent claims 1, 37, and 56 were discussed to determine where the specification had support for the limitation "against a predetermined combination of indicia of usage that are associated with a combination of signals not authorized for usage together on said receiver." The specification has support in at least paragraphs ([0026, 0087, 0093-0098]). Specifically, in paragraph [0095] of the specification, the second sentence provides enough support.